UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,376	09/30/2003	John A. Hughes	240720US6YA	4362
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			ARANCIBIA, MAUREEN GRAMAGLIA	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/03/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Interview Summary	10/673,376	HUGHES ET AL.	
mierview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maureen G. Arancibia	1792	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Maureen G. Arancibia</u> .	(3) <u>Ron Rudder</u> .		
(2) <u>Parviz Hassanzadeh</u> .	(4) <u>Ed Garlepp</u> .		
Date of Interview: 28 August 2008.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 18</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Kumar, Hasegawa.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representative recitation was intended use. No agreement was reached. arguments for non-obviousness to be considered. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERLE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	wes discussed whether or not a Further, Applicant's Represer Iments which the examiner agopy of the amendments that wid.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE Last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	reed would rend yould render the SUBSTANCE (been filed, APP or DAYS FROM TOWN HICHEVER IS	er the claims claims CF THE PLICANT IS FHIS S LATER, TO
/Maureen G. Arancibia/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792	/Parviz Hassanzadeh/ Supervisory Patent Examiner. Art U	nit 1792	

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792